

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
BOARD OF WATER SUPPLY
Thursday June 28, 2012

The Board of Water Supply, County of Kaua'i, met in regular meeting at the Board Conference Room in Līhu'e on Thursday, June 28, 2012. Chairperson Daryl Kaneshiro called the meeting to order at 10:20 a.m. On roll call, the following answered present:

BOARD: Mr. Daryl Kaneshiro, Chairperson
Mr. Randall Nishimura
Mr. Raymond McCormick
Mr. Roy Oyama
Mr. Michael Dahilig
Mr. Larry Dill

ABSENT/EXCUSED: Mr. Clyde Nakaya

Quorum was achieved with 6 members present at the time of roll call.

STAFF: Mr. David Craddick
Mr. William Eddy
Mr. Val Reyna
Ms. Marites Yano
Mr. Dustin Moises
Ms. Joy Buccat
Mr. Keith Aoki
Mr. Edward Doi
Ms. Sandi Nadatani-Mendez
Deputy County Attorney Andrea Suzuki
Deputy County Attorney Jodie Higuchi

GUESTS: Mr. Greg Allen, Kapa`a Highlands
Mr. Max Graham, Kapa`a Highlands
Ms. Joanne Allen, Kapa`a Highlands
Ms. Michele Hughes, Secret Beach Properties LLC

ACCEPTANCE OF AGENDA:

Mr. Oyama moved to accept the agenda as circulated, seconded by Mr. Dahilig; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

MINUTES:

Review and approval of:

Regular Meeting Minutes – May 24, 2012

Mr. Nishimura moved to receive the Regular Meeting transcription dated May 24, 2012 and directed the Department of Water to transcribe these into minutes, seconded by Mr. Oyama; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

Review & Receive Executive Session Transcript:

Executive Session - May 24, 2012

Mr. Dahilig moved to approve the Executive Session transcripts dated May 24, 2012, seconded by Mr. McCormick; by unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

CORRESPONDENCE

Re: Kapaa Highlands Subdivision Planning Commission Subdivision No. S-99-45

DISCUSSION:

Mr. Nishimura moved to receive correspondence dated June 15, 2012 from Belles Graham Proudfoot Wilson & Chun, LLP to the Manager of the DOW for the Kapa`a Highlands Subdivision and the accompanying documents and that this be referred to the Department for recommendation, seconded by Mr. Oyama.

Mr. Max Graham represented the applicant on behalf of the Allen Family LLC and Greg Allen also appeared to summarize the proposal to the board.

The project is concerning a property subdivision in Kapa`a adjacent to the Kapa`a Middle School. The subdivision project is for 12 agricultural lots & 1 road lot and a few remnant lots. The project subdivision was filed in 1999 which contemplated a maximum of 50 farm dwelling units of the 12 agricultural lots and received tentative approval in August of 1999. Due to the length of time, the Planning Commission Subdivision Committee has informed the applicant that if there is no resolution of this subdivision by the end of August of this year, the Subdivision Committee will recommend that the application be cancelled. This would then require the applicant to resubmit the application for subdivision.

The final issue to be resolved in this matter is the concerns of water. There was an original subdivider applicant and over the years, the title to the property has passed to the Allen family which now controls this property. The Allen family was encouraged by the members of the County to consider developing a 97 acre portion of the property totaling 163 acres which is located in the general plan urban center as a residential project. This would encompass lot 6 through 12 of the AG subdivision. In order to do this the applicant would have to have the State Land Use Commission redistrict the property into the urban district and then the County Council to approve a rezoning into the CZO residential district.

The idea is to provide 816 middle income mid-level affordable housing residential units for Kauai residents. The problem is with source and supply which the applicant asked the board and department to approve the present subdivision with the understanding that lots 1 through 5 would remain in the Ag district and the department would issue 16 water meters for the 16 farm dwelling units on lots 1 through 5 Phase 1. Lots 6 through 12 would be held to be in the residential project otherwise known as Phase 2. The applicant's source supply has been tested and he is proposing the department to this water source be completely built out with a well, a generator, backup generators, pumps, and a transition line to the county's main water line to Olohena Road, In exchange, it will allow the applicant to proceed and enter into this agreement with the development and when the time comes for the residential project to be approved that the board would acknowledge that there is available source from the well at the site and to allow the applicant to pay for additional storage in the county's system as the county built it out.

The purpose to encourage this residential project in Kapaa and the benefit to the county is that this would be affordable and available to Kauai residents. In addition the water board will have this available source of water from the well on site by the applicant. The agreement is contingent on the applicant building this well up to the supply and sufficient source with the county's standards. The water source supply would have to generate 500 gallons per minute as stated on the agreement. If the well doesn't produce the agreement would be null.

Both Mr. Graham and Mr. Allen have requested resolve and address this issue to be on the next month's water board agenda in July.

Mr. Allen stated that the time frame demand for the AG lots is within the one year for the first 5 lots. For the Phase II demand, it was required by the LUC to submit all the documents as if it were an EIS traffic study. We have told them that we will have our staff endorsement of the LUC within a week. The Phase II time frame would then be two years. If the source is built within the First Phase with the existing storage, there is about 69% capacity of the whole project with the Ornellas Tank there is an excess capacity. The agreement states that we will not get any meters until there was storage available.

Mr. Allen explained to Mr. Oyama that the agriculture water will be available on the domestic system but the water for the domestic system is being provided by the well on the property. The agreement will be void if the well didn't produce. The well is drilled 260 feet with no draw down and its chlorides were extremely low with a run of 12 hours by Tom Nance. It was tested at 550 gallons per minute and the proposal is at 500. The excess capacity that it is pumped at will be given to the Department of Water. The DOW could also participate in the development of the permanent well to a large size on the theory that more water could be brought out of that well.

Chair Kaneshiro thanked both Mr. Graham and Mr. Allen for attending the board meeting and summarizing their proposal.

Mr. Nishimura moved to receive correspondence dated June 15, 2012 from Belles Graham Proudfoot Wilson & Chun, LLP to the Manager of the DOW for the Kapa'a Highlands Subdivision and the accompanying documents and that this be referred to the Department for recommendation and for this proposal to be on the Regular Meeting Agenda for July, seconded by Mr. Oyama; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

BOARD COMMITTEE REPORTS

Report of the Finance Committee of the Kaua'i County Board of Water Supply

- a. Draft Budget 2013
- b. FRC Rates

Finance Committee Chair Dill reported that the finance committee met on May 24, 2012 where the committee continues to work on the FRC rates awaiting for the Manager to submit a summary report to present to the next finance committee meeting.

The Finance Committee met and moved the Draft Budget 2013 out of committee to the full board.

Mr. Graham and Mr. Belles leaves at 10:40 a.m.

Mr. Nishimura moved to approve the report of the Finance Committee, seconded by Mr. Oyama; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

OLD BUSINESS

Re: Manager's Report No. 12 – 54 – Debt Service Reserve Fund Policy

Mr. Nishimura moved to approve *Manager's Report No. 12 – 54 – Debt Service Reserve Fund Policy No. 27*, seconded by Mr. Oyama.

DISCUSSION:

Mr. Nishimura requested to amend the Debt Service Fund Policy with the following Amendments so that in the future, no modification will be needed:

- | | |
|------------------|--|
| Delete item | 4) LOANS BETWEEN DEBT SERVICE RESERVE FUNDS
iii. A loan between Debt Service Reserve Funds shall be permitted upon approval by the Board. Such loan shall be by resolution and shall be paid back with interest in an amount determined in the resolution. |
| Change item | 4) LOANS BETWEEN DEBT SERVICE RESERVE FUNDS
iv. Prior to submission for a loan for the DSRF, the Manager shall initiate proceedings to increase either the water rates or the Facilities Reserve Charge as a condition of the process. |
| To | iii. Prior to submission for a loan for the DSRF, the Manager shall initiate proceedings to increase either the water rates or the Facilities Reserve Charge as a condition of the process. |
| Change wording | 1) DEPOSITS INTO DSRF
ii. Funds shall be deposited into the respective DSRF from the Water Utility Fund, and the Facility Reserve Charge Fund, or the Debt Service Fund, depending on the source of funding for the debt. |
| Correct spelling | 2) DISBURSEMENTS FROM DSRF
i. Two signature's shall be required to withdraw or authorize check payments or wire transfer DSRF funds. The two signatures required shall be from: (1) the Manager or Deputy Manager; and (2) the Comptroller Controller or Chairperson of the Board. |
| Change wording | 4) LOANS BETWEEN DEBT SERVICE RESERVE FUNDS
ii. A loan from either the Utility Fund or the Facilities Reserve Charge Fund to a another DSRF shall be permitted upon approval by the |

Board of Water Supply. Such loan shall be by resolution and shall be paid back with interest in an amount determined in the resolution.

Mr. Nishimura explained to Ms. Yano that based on the changes and discussions, the board may approve the Budget for fiscal year 2013 and then request to amend the budget. Manager Craddick clarified to the board that there is no new creation of an account, but basically a sub account where it is tracked which Mr. Dill requested to have this noted.

Mr. Nishimura moved to approve *Manager's Report No. 12 – 54 – Debt Service Reserve Fund Policy No. 27*, seconded by Mr. Oyama.

Mr. Nishimura moved to approve *Manager's Report No. 12 – 54 – Debt Service Reserve Fund Policy No. 7* with amendments as presented, seconded by Mr. Oyama; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

Re: *Manager's Report No. 12 - 71 – Revision of Board Policy No. 22 concerning change order threshold update*

DISCUSSION:

Manager Craddick passed out a revised Board Policy No. 22. Speaking with the DOW's County Attorney Andrea Suzuki, there may be an issue to whether #7 should be deleted. #1 h. Should state:

- h. When the emergency operations Capital Replacement or FRC Fund is used per (e.) above, the reserve shall be replenished the following budget year in the ratio of the funding source(s) that was initially used to fund the project.

The reason why the revised Board Policy No. 22 has a #7 is because the previous policy from 2002 Procedure 6 is asking for when a competitive process is used and if a proposed contract award will be to other than the lowest bidder, the DOW will seek Board approval prior to the award the question of the RFP process came to mind to make sure it was understood that as long as the DOW is rewarding it to the highest responsible offer that these types of jobs can also be awarded.

Deputy County Attorney Andrea Suzuki emphasized that this addition to the policy is not necessary. The board should not get involved in what method or process that administration to procure under the jurisdiction of their CPO. Manager Craddick agreed and requested for this item to be deleted.

- ~~7. When the Request for Proposal process is used and the proposed contract award is to the highest rated responsive and responsible offeror who is other than the lowest cost offeror, the Board must approve the contract prior to award.~~

Mr. Nishimura raised concerns that the policy states that the FRC Reserve can be used for change orders, but Manager Craddick explained to Mr. Nishimura that Budget Fiscal Year 2013 FRC FUND tab, page 3, line 301b shows FRC Fund Balance and not Reserve.

For the CIP Reserve Fund the state law allows the DOW to set up aside for ten years up to 15% of revenues per year for ten years if a reserve of that size is established.

Mr. Dill also recommended that #6 be reviewed and changed due to a few mechanical issues that would disqualify a bidder. The lowest bid should not necessarily come to the board with reviewing minimum qualifications. The changes should state as follows,

6. When a competitive bid process is used and a proposed contract award will be to other than the lowest **responsible** bidder, the Board must approve the contract prior to award.

Mr. Nishimura is apprehensive on item e. because 5% is \$25,000 based on the lower end of the contract spectrum. The department will still have the authority to issue a contract based on that contingency if need be. This would be \$25,000 plus another \$25,000 which is in essence \$50,000 which for a small contract would be at 10%. A change order for a big project would be \$10 million for a \$500,000 project and the board should be aware of this type of magnitude.

Manager Craddick explained that the Department has not come to the board for a prior budget amendment before this revised policy because if the job can be finished with the 5% contingency there is no need to base on the current policy and there was a number of small \$3,000 or \$4,000 change orders that the board recommended they didn't need to go through. This is initially what drove the change, but if the board requests to see them, it can be done.

Mr. Dahilig also highlighted that coming from a timing standpoint; you don't want to hold a contingent project based on a small change order while waiting for the board's approval. The threshold was not viewed at during this recommendation. Mr. Moises replied that the highest change order was \$19,000 and a majority is between \$5,000 to \$10,000.

Mr. Dahilig requested two alterations to his Policy No. 22. Since the highest was \$19,000, the threshold should be reduced to \$20,000 and being that the \$200,000 cumulative amount is within the budget year, the \$20,000 can be used up to one time for one particular project. With these changes, Mr. Dahilig motioned to approve *Manager's Report No. 12 - 71* – Revision of Board Policy No. 22 concerning change order threshold update.

The wording is incorrect and should state:

- e. In addition to the five percent contingency allowed per project, each budget year there shall be up to two hundred thousand dollars from the Capital Replacement or FRC Reserve Fund that can be used for change orders and cumulative for a particular contract that do not exceed 10 % of the total contract, or \$20,000, whichever is lower.

Mr. Dill requested to leave this to how it currently is and directed the department to make the necessary changes and defer to the next regular board meeting in July.

Mr. Nishimura moved to receive revised Board Policy No. 22 handout by Manager Craddick, seconded by Mr. Oyama; motion was carried.

Mr. Dahilig moved to approve Board Policy No. 22 with the revised changes and defer to the next regular board meeting in July, seconded by Mr. McCormick; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

Chair Kaneshiro called the Regular Meeting to recess at 11:24 a.m.

Chair Kaneshiro reconvened the Regular Meeting back to order at 11:24 a.m.

Chair Kaneshiro requested for the board and staff to move to New Business *Manager's Report No. 12 – 83* Correspondence from Michelle Hughes, Secret Beach Properties, LLC, out of order and to be discussed at this time.

Re: Manager's Report No. 12 – 83 – Correspondence from Michelle Hughes, Secret Beach Properties, LLC, requesting adjustment of Invoices on Water Bills to reflect AG Rate instead of Residential Rates

DISCUSSION:

Deputy County Attorney Jodi Higuchi explained to the board that this letter can be treated as a petition and the board can issue a declaratory ruling now or issue a written one later.

Manager Craddick recommended that the request of adjustment of invoices and water bills regarding the agriculture rate be denied.

Ms. Michele Hughes introduced herself to the board along with the staff and testified on her own behalf. The thirty three years that she has been a loyal client of the DOW with numerous residential accounts until four years ago. Four accounts were set up with the agriculture rate and three are the subject properties regarding this proposal. There has been a creation of an LLC with an ag nursery with about 30,000 plants that is propagated on these properties.

Last year in November, Secret Beach Properties LLC received the first notice that the water was put on to the regular residential rate where Mel Yamase was immediately contacted as well as Attorney Lorna Nishimitsu. It wasn't until December when all of the ag applications got turned in and now the properties are successfully back on the ag rate. Ms. Hughes thanked and expressed her appreciation to the department for their consideration in prorating the November 22, 2011 bill back to the ag rate however the cost to the LLC that was in error to no one's fault except that notices were not received and the same post office box was used for over 25 years. Coming from a customer relation standpoint, this is a grey area to reconcile the accounts for the \$8,000 difference that this error had occurred. The consideration in the dollar amount is open upon the department's discretion.

Ms. Yano confirmed to Mr. Nishimura and Mr. McCormick that the notices were sent out to the same addresses as the billing and just one notice was sent out.

Mr. Dahilig moved that the board adopt the finding and facts per Ms. Hughes admission that the Department of Water was not culpable in this particular circumstance that this request was made from a customer relation standpoint that there is sufficient evidence based on the testimony from the Controller, Ms. Yano that notices were sent out in a business practice manner. It is disputable from the replies that petitioner received after the notice and particularly on December 16, 2011.

Therefore, with the certified sufficient evidence from this petition, Mr. Dahilig moved to deny the request of Ms. Hughes based on those findings and that Chair Kaneshiro should be authorized to sign a finding and facts conclusion with the respect of Ms. Hughes, seconded by Mr. Nishimura; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

Chair Kaneshiro requested for the board and staff to move back to Old Business.

Re: Draft Budget 2013

DISCUSSION:

Manager Craddick requested the board to add \$40,000 to contract a consultant to gather data on the number of applicants who paid for the FRC, but have not installed a meter.

Chair Kaneshiro called the Regular Meeting to recess at 11:54 a.m.

Chair Kaneshiro reconvened the Regular Meeting back to order at 12:26 p.m.

Ms. Yano handed out changed made from the May 24, 2012 Finance Committee meeting for the Draft Budget for Fiscal Year 2013:

Notes re: changes from May 24, 2012 V6 and V6.1 version

1. Year to date payments to CIP /CRP, CRPL projects were updated and reflected under the following TABS:

Tab 2	Budget Summary FYE 2013 final
Tab 12	Capital Replacement Program
Tab 13	Capital Rehabilitation Program
Tab 14	FRC Fund FYE 2013 final
Tab 15	Waterworks Build America Project Fund 2013 Final

2. Tab 3 Revenues 5d, page 1 decreased by 126K
3. Tab 6 Administration salaries decreased by \$24K.
4. Tab 10 Operations page 12, delete 4 x 2 Access Cab 3/4 ton (95K) and increase 4 x 2 Medium Truck with Utility by 10K.

Mr. Dill moved to approve and adopt Budget for Fiscal Year 2013, seconded by Mr. Oyama; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

NEW BUSINESS

Re: *Manager's Report No. 12 – 82 - Twelfth Change order for Job No. 05-06, Eiwa, Umi, Ekahi, Elua and Hardy Streets 8" Waterline Improvements (Water Plan 2020 Project No. PLH-25), Lihue, Kauai*

Mr. Dill moved to approve *Manager's Report No. 12 – 82 - Twelfth Change order for Job No. 05-06, Eiwa, Umi, Ekahi, Elua and Hardy Streets 8" Waterline Improvements (Water Plan 2020 Project No. PLH-25), Lihue, Kauai*, seconded by Mr. Oyama; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

Re: *Manager's Report No. 12 – 84 – Fiscal Division – Billing Section, Re-description of Positions*

Ms. Yano handed out a proposed version of the Fiscal Division for the board and staff.

Mr. Nishimura moved to receive the proposed County of Kauai Kaua'i Department of Water Organizational Chart for the Fiscal Division, seconded by Mr. McCormick; by a unanimous vote motion was carried with 6 ayes.

DISCUSSION:

Ms. Yano explained that originally the two Data Entry positions that we currently have, one was to reallocate to Cashier II and the other Data Entry would reallocate to a Consumer Relations Assistant. This change would classify the two Data Entry positions to a Customer Service Representative (CSR) I and II. With the trainings in the new CC&B Program, it was realized that all these functions can be consolidated into one classification and create Customer Service Representative series so that it would be easier for the billing division to do each other's' job.

Mr. Nishimura moved to approve *Manager's Report No. 12 – 84 – Fiscal Division – Billing Section, Re-description of Positions*, seconded by Mr. McCormick; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

Mr. Nishimura requested that the Board Handbook be updated accordingly.

Re: *Manager's Report No. 12 – 85 – Deferral of Private Fire Service Charges Effective July 1, 2012*

DISCUSSION:

Manager Craddick explained that a call was taken in a few months back regarding the Private Fire Line Charges. There was no rate increases with the private fire lines since 2008 so in 2012 when the rate increases were in place, the next increase will already be in effect in a couple weeks. Manager Craddick recommends that the private fire line charges revenue of \$32,900 should be deferred for one year. It is recommended so that small businesses will have the opportunity to absorb the increases that came in January of this year.

Manager Craddick stated to Mr. Nishimura that this deferral will not cease residential rate increases. If a business has a small 2" fire line, the expected increase will be 86% and the largest 8" fire line will increase to 53% in addition to the 11% increases this July. The time for our staff to explain that this would be another increase would be much easier otherwise this deferral would qualify for a "rate shock." If it is deferred, this revenue would be caught up by 2015.

Mr. Nishimura requested to see the residential increases as well. The stream may be small, but the rate structure was dependent on the rate study consultation. They shouldn't deserve consideration when no one else is. Between January to this July, there's an overall expectancy of a 22% rate increase for residential rate payers and this issue should have been discussed earlier.

Chair Kaneshiro also brought to the boards' attention that these revenues should reflect on the budget to not burden our rate payers. Mr. Oyama also expressed that the role of board members should be fair and these private fire line charges should be addressed to the level of equality with other rate payers. Even if the revenue of \$32,900 is small, it should still be considered going forward.

Mr. Nishimura moved to receive *Manager's Report No. 12 – 85 – Deferral of Private Fire Service Charges Effective July 1, 2012*, seconded by Mr. Oyama, by a unanimous vote; motion was carried with 6 ayes.

Re: *Manager's Report No. 12 – 86 – Qualifications for Agriculture charges and rates in Part 3 Section III of the Rules*

DISCUSSION:

In 1970, the board took a resolution from the Council requesting special reduced water rate for water use for agricultural purposes by truck farmers. One year later, the board came up with agriculture rates that have been different and taken its own course throughout these years and now the reasoning and benefits of agriculture rates should be appraised.

Manager Craddick requested that the board revisit the intents of the agriculture water rate criteria.

Mr. Dill also supports Manager Craddick's request in trying to create a nexus between the subsidy and the amount of the subsidy and the basis for it.

Mr. Oyama also agreed that it is time to identify the real purpose and to address real farm agriculture to production for consumption.

Mr. Dahilig also agreed to inquire on vacation rental users using ag water, certificates from Planning on agriculture rights and that would determine for a user to have a lower water rate. Vacation rentals are not ag.

Mr. Nishimura moved to receive *Manager's Report No. 12 – 86 – Qualifications for Agriculture charges and rates in Part 3 Section III of the Rules* and direct the Department to provide a draft rule change regarding the agriculture rates, seconded by Mr. Oyama; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

Chair Kaneshiro recommended the Department take reference to some of the agriculture bills that the county has and what really qualifies for agriculture would be a useful instrument for the department.

Mr. Nishimura leaves at 1:13 p.m.

STAFF REPORTS

Re: Statement of Kaua'i County Water Department's Revenues and Expenditures

Mr. Oyama moved to receive the Statement of Kaua'i County Water Department's Revenues and Expenditures, seconded by Mr. Dill; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 5 ayes.

Re: Report by the Public Relations Specialist on Public Relations Activities

Ms. Buccat clarified that the 9th Annual Make a Splash event is being held the same time as the board meeting in September so it was requested to change the board meeting date.

Mr. Oyama moved to receive the Report by the Public Relations Specialist on Public Relations Activities, seconded by Mr. McCormick; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 5 ayes.

Re: Chief of Operation's Summary Report on Monthly Operational Maintenance

Mr. Oyama moved to receive the Chief of Operation's Summary Report on Monthly Operational Maintenance, seconded by Mr. Dill; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 5 ayes.

Re: Water Resources and Planning Subdivision Report

Mr. Oyama moved to receive the Water Resources and Planning Subdivision Report, seconded by Mr. Dill; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 5 ayes.

Re: Manager's Monthly Update Regarding Activities of Note of the Kaua'i County Water Department

Mr. Oyama moved to receive the Manager's Monthly Update Regarding Activities of Note of the Kaua'i County Water Department seconded by Mr. Dill; by a unanimous vote, motion was carried with 6 ayes.

QUARTERLY

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Pursuant to H.R.S. §92-7(a), the Board may, when deemed necessary, hold an executive session on any agenda item without written public notice if the executive session was not anticipated in advance. Any such executive session shall be held pursuant to H.R.S. §92-4 and shall be limited to those items described in H.R.S. §92-5(a).

There was no executive session held at this meeting.

TOPICS FOR NEXT WATER BOARD MEETING

1. Quarterly Staff Reports (*July 2012*)
2. Affordable Housing Update (*July 2012*)
3. Board/Staff reports on AWWA conference Dallas, Texas (*July 2012*)

TOPICS FOR FUTURE WATER BOARD MEETINGS

1. Resolution No. 13-1 – Budget for 2013 (*August 2012*)

2. Draft Rule Change for Qualifications for Agriculture charges and rates in Part 3 Section III of the Rules (*August 2012*)
3. Public Hearing for Part II Rule - Leak Rebate Cancellation (*August 2012*)
4. Amend BAB Project List
5. Employee Morale
6. Public Hearing for Part III Rule- Establishing Standards for Subdivision Water Systems

UPCOMING EVENTS

1. Project Wet – Make a Splash – September 27, 2012, Department of Water
2. HWWA Conference – October 17-19, 2012, Ala Moana Hotel, Honolulu

NEXT WATER BOARD MEETING

1. Thursday, July 26, 2012, 10:00 a.m.
2. Thursday, August 23, 2012, 10:00 a.m.
3. Thursday, September 27, 2012, 10:00 a.m.
4. Thursday, October 25, 2012, 10:00 a.m.
5. **Wednesday**, November 21, 2012, 10:00 a.m.
6. Thursday, December 27, 2012, 10:00 a.m.

The changes to the Waterboard Meetings have been updated as follows:

1. **Friday**, July 20, 2012, 10:00 a.m.
2. Thursday, August 23, 2012, 10:00 a.m.
3. Thursday, September 20, 2012, 10:00 a.m.
4. Thursday, October 25, 2012, 10:00 a.m.
5. **Wednesday**, November 21, 2012, 10:00 a.m.
6. Thursday, December 27, 2012, 10:00 a.m. (Larry is excused for this meeting)

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chair Kaneshiro adjourned the meeting at 1:27 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,



Mary-jane Garasi
Acting Private Secretary

Approved,



Randall Nishimura
Secretary – Board of Water Supply